

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/082,984 | 02/25/2002 | Yukinobu Konishi | 542-007-3 | 7004 |
| 4955 | 7590 12/06/2007 | EXAMINER | | |
| WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE. CT 06468 | | | SCHECHTER, ANDREW M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MONROE, CI | 00408 | 2871 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/06/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/082,984 | KONISHI ET AL. | | |
| xaminer | Art Unit | | |
| Andrew Schachter | 2971 | | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

| s | ta | tu | ıs |
|---|----|----|----|
| | | | |

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2007 BPAI Decision.
- 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- Claim(s) is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _
 - 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 - * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/082,984 Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Prosecution Reopened

- In the Decision on Appeal of 20 November 2007, the Board of Patent Appeals
 and Interferences reversed the previous rejections under 35 USC 103.
- 2. On 6 June 2006, after the filing of the Appeal Brief and Examiner's Answer but before the decision of the Board, the applicant submitted an Information Disclosure Statement which listed U.S. Patent No. 5,851,918 to Song et al.; due to a failure to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.97, the IDS was placed in the application file but the information was not considered.
- 3. Nonetheless, due to the submission, the examiner has specific knowledge of the existence of a particular reference (Song et al.) which indicates nonpatentability of the appealed claims whose rejection was reversed. The examiner has therefore obtained authorization from the Technology Center Director to reopen prosecution for the purpose of entering a new rejection based on this reference [see MPEP 1214.04].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/082,984 Art Unit: 2871

 Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,851,918 in view of Takizawa et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,742,074.

Song discloses [see Fig. 12, for instance] a liquid crystal display comprising a TFT substrate [22, etc.], wherein the TFT array substrate has a display area ["C"] and a terminal forming area ["D"], the display area is provided with a pixel electrode [40 in the "C" region], a switching element [24, 30, 34, etc.] connected to the pixel electrode, the terminal forming area is provided with a terminal electrode [40 in the "D" region], and wherein a first metallic line [34c, made of chromium, col. 5, lines 43-44] and a second metallic line [24a, made of aluminum, col. 5, lines 18-19], both connected to the terminal electrode via respective contact holes [the holes in passivation layer 36], are arranged below the terminal electrode at the terminal forming area, and an insulating layer [28] is interposed between the first metallic line and the second metallic line [note that, as shown in the applicant's own Figs. 2 and 3, the first and second metallic lines do not need to overlap each other in order for the insulating layer to be "interposed between" them].

Song is silent on, and therefore does not explicitly disclose, the following conventional details of LCDs: a counter substrate facing the TFT substrate and liquid crystal interposed between the substrates, a gate line connected to the switching element and a source line connected to the switching element, and the terminal electrode being for connecting the gate line or source line to at least one external signal source. Takizawa discloses an analogous LCD, with analogous display and terminal forming areas [see Fig. 1 or 24, for instance], and explicitly discloses a counter

Application/Control Number: 10/082.984

Art Unit: 2871

substrate facing the TFT substrate and liquid crystal interposed between the substrates [col. 8, lines 60-65], a gate line [14] connected to the analogous switching element [40] and a source line [16] connected to the switching element [see Fig. 3, for instance], and the terminal electrode being for connecting the gate line or source line to at least one external signal source [col. 7, lines 25-30, for instance]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have these conventional features in the device of *Song*, motivated by the desire to form a functioning LCD with a liquid crystal and counter substrate, to have an active matrix of gate and data lines to produce an image from an array of pixels, and to provide the external signals which direct what image is to be formed, respectively.

Song also is silent on, and therefore does not explicitly disclose, that the insulating layer serves, during fabrication of said display, to minimize exfoliation of the second metallic line, and short circuits resulting from such exfoliation. However, the structure recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claim [each has two metallic lines, formed of the same gate and source line layers, which are separated by a gate insulating layer made of silicon nitride]. In such situations, claimed properties or functions [such as serving to minimize exfoliation of one of the metallic lines during fabrication] are presumed to be inherent [see MPEP 2112.01]. Alternatively, this limitation could be considered a product-by-process limitation [the product is made by a process which includes a step in which the insulating layer serves to minimize exfoliation]. In such situations, the claim is not limited by the manipulations of the recited steps, but only by the structure implied by the steps [see MPEP 2113]. Here,

Application/Control Number: 10/082.984

Art Unit: 2871

the only structure implied is having a relatively low number of exfoliations and short circuits, which is not a patentable distinction as this would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention motivated by the desire to avoid such defects. So, the burden shifts to the applicant to show an unobvious difference between the prior art and the claimed invention [see MPEP 2113].

Claim 1 is therefore unpatentable.

Song in view of Takizawa also has the first metallic line [34c] made from the same layer as that for the source line [the source line shown in Takizawa would be an extension of the source electrode 34a of Song] and has the second metallic line [24a] made from the same layer as that for the gate line [the gate line shown in Takizawa would be an extension of the gate electrode 24 of Song], so claim 2 is also unpatentable.

Considering claims 3 and 4, *Song* also discloses that the second metallic line [24a] is arranged in a lower layer than the first metallic line [34c]. *Song* does not explicitly disclose that the first metallic line is connected to the source line. However, the purpose of these terminal structures is to be connected to such lines, as can be seen in the analogous LCD shown in Figs. 24-32 of *Takizawa* [this is the fourth embodiment, which discloses, like *Song*, electrodes connected via respective contact holes to two lines below them, see Fig. 28D in particular]. Considering the source lines and source terminals in Figs. 28D and 32, for instance, the electrodes [35] are analogous to the terminal electrodes [40] in *Song*, being connected through one contact hole to a lower electrode [34b, like the second metallic line in *Song*] and through

Application/Control Number: 10/082.984

Art Unit: 2871

another contact hole to a slightly higher electrode [36b, like the first metallic line in Song], which is connected to the source line [16b]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the first metallic line in Song connected to the source line, motivated by the desire to use the terminal structure in Song to provide for electrical connection to the source lines. Claims 3 and 4 are therefore unpatentable.

Considering claims 5 and 6, Song also discloses that the second metallic line [24a] is arranged in a lower layer than the first metallic line [34c]. Song does not explicitly disclose that the second metallic line is connected to the gate line. However, the purpose of these terminal structures is to be connected to such lines, as can be seen in the analogous LCD shown in Figs. 24-32 of Takizawa [this is the fourth embodiment, which discloses, like Song, electrodes connected via respective contact holes to two lines below them, see Fig. 28D in particular]. Considering the gate lines and gate terminals in Figs. 28D and 32, for instance, the electrodes [25] are analogous to the terminal electrodes [40] in Song, being connected through one contact hole to a lower electrode [24a, like the first metallic line in Song] and through another contact hole to an even lower electrode [26a, like the second metallic line in Song], which is connected to the gate line [14a]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the first metallic line in Song connected to the gate line, motivated by the desire to use the terminal structure in Sona to provide for electrical connection to the gate lines. Claims 5 and 6 are therefore unpatentable.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,984 Art Unit: 2871

Note that in claims 3 and 4 the recited terminal electrode is connected to a source line, and in claims 5 and 6 the recited terminal electrode is connected to a gate line. The rejection above should therefore be understood to refer to two separate terminal electrodes in the device of *Song* in view of *Takizawa*, one on the source side of the display for claims 3 and 4, and one on the gate side of the display for claims 5 and 6, rather than a single terminal electrode satisfying all four claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Schechter whose telephone number is (571) 272-2302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/082,984 Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Schechter
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800
27 November 2007

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner

ROBERT OBERLEITNER
DIRECTOR
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800